

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12740 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO. 24664 OF 2013

Mahadeo Kisanrao Late

Applicant..

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Respondents..

.....
Mr P. R. Kadam, Advocate for the applicant
Mr Deshmukh, AGP for respondent/State
Mr Survase, Advocate for respondent No. 3
.....

ALONG WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12805 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST NO. 24667 OF 2013

CORAM : **S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.**
DATE : 23RD OCTOBER, 2015.

PER COURT:

. Mr Survase, learned Counsel for non-applicant No. 3
opposes the applications on the ground that no sufficient cause is stated.

2. The averments made in the applications are not
controverted by non-applicants by filing affidavit. The applicants have
also shown *bona fide* by filing an undertaking to the effect that they

would not claim statutory benefits for the delayed period.

3. In light of that, the applications are allowed. Delay is condoned. Civil Applications stand disposed of.

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

sgp