

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 180 OF 2007

The State of Maharashtra .. Petitioner

Versus

Manik Yeshwanta Gaikwad .. Respondent

WRIT PETITION NO. 181 OF 2007

The State of Maharashtra .. Petitioners

Versus

Subhash Asaram Bhadwaladar .. Respondent

Mr. S.R. Palnitkar, A.G.P. for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhir Patil h/f. Mrs. Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar,
Advocate for respondent Nos. WP No.180 of 2007.

Mr. Kalyan Patil h/f. Mr. S.R. Barlinge, Advocate for
respondent in WP No. 181 of 2007.

**CORAM : A.V. NIRGUDE &
V.K. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATED : 08.01.2015

P.C. :-

1. The facts of these petitions are similar and therefore they are taken up for hearing and disposal commonly.

2. In these petitions orders dated 28th June, 2001 & 5th September, 2001, respectively, passed by the

Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Aurangabad Bench is challenged belated in 2006-07. In Writ Petition No.10506 of 2010, the Division Bench of this Court has taken a view that due to delay of almost more than four years, the petition should be dismissed for laches on the part of the petitioner. Even in the present petitions there is no mention as to why the petitions are filed after so much of delay. Apart from this, the respondents/employees are in service since 1986 and are still working.

3. The writ petitions are therefore dismissed. Rule discharged.

[V.K. JADHAV, J.]

[A.V. NIRGUDE, J.]