

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7846 OF 2014

Suwarna Prabhakar Jadhav .. Petitioner

Versus

The Chief Executive Officer and Another .. Respondents

Shri Sachin S. Deshmukh, Advocate for Petitioner

Shri R. T. Nagargoje, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1

Shri A. R. Gaikwad with S. B. Solunke, Advocate for the
Respondent No. 2

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 06TH JULY, 2015.

PER COURT :

1. We have heard Mr. Deshmukh, the learned counsel at length.

2. Mr. Nagargoje, the learned counsel for the Respondent No. 1 points out the Government Resolution dated 16th November, 2013, which states that the selection process which was initiated vide Government Resolution dated 05th August, 2010 and if it is still continued should be cancelled. The selection process itself is cancelled, as such though the name of

the petitioner was at serial No. 1 in the select list the petitioner was never issued with the appointment order. Vide the present writ petition the appointment of Respondent No. 2 is under cloud. According to the learned counsel for petitioner the certificate dated 16.04.2013 produced by the petitioner was not available at the time of selection process and the same is not genuine document. It is for the authority to consider the said aspect. As per Respondent No. 1, enquiry was made and the said certificate was found to be genuine.

3. Considering the fact that earlier selection process itself is cancelled it is not necessary to go into other aspects of the petition. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

sam/July. 15