

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AT AURANGABAD.**

Criminal Revision Application No. 181 of 2012

Vishnu s/o. Shankar Kate.

.. Revision Applicant
(Original accused)

versus

The State of Maharashtra.

.. Respondent.

.....

Mr. A.N. Nagargoje, Advocate, for the revision applicant.

*Mr. S.G. Nandedkar, Additional Public Prosecutor, for
the respondent - State.*

.....

CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.

DATE : 18TH MARCH 2015

PER COURT :

1. For the reasons recorded separately, the Revision Application is allowed.

The judgment and order passed by the Assistant Sessions Judge, Beed, dated 23rd August 2010, in Sessions Case No. 75/2009, upheld by the appellate court i.e. Additional Sessions Judge, Beed, dated 22nd February 2012, in Criminal Appeal No. 51/2010, is hereby quashed and set aside. The revision applicant be released forthwith, if not required in any

(2)

Cri. Revn. Appln. No. 181 of 2012

other offence. Amount of fine, if deposited, shall be refunded to the revision applicant.

2. Rule made absolute in the above terms.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV)
JUDGE

.....

puranik / CriRevn181.12