IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11950 OF 2012

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. **APPLICANTS**

V E R S U S

Shantibai Nandlya Gavit **NON-APPLICANT**

Mr. A.M. Phule, A.G.P. for the Applicants – State

Mr. R.C. Patil, Advocate for the Non-Applicant

CORAM : A.M. BADAR, J.
DATE : 26th NOVEMBER, 2015

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned A.G.P. and Mr. R.C. Patil, learned Advocate for the Non-Applicant. Mr. R.C. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Non-applicant does not seen to have objection for condonation of delay.

2. Perused the application. Averments therein are not controverted by filing the counter claim. Sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. Hence, the application is allowed. Delay in lodging the appeal is condoned.

(A.M. BADAR)
JUDGE

srm/26/11/2015