

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11211 OF 2014

Namdev Pandurang Patil

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri Venkatesh A. Shastry, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Smt. S. A. Dhumal, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Shri D. P. Palodkar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 4.

Shri A. R. Kale, Advocate h/f Shri A. R. Joshi, Advocate for the Respondent No. 5.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 16TH FEBRUARY, 2015.

PER COURT :

. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that, after filing of petition the management has already forwarded the proposal for extension of age on superannuation of the petitioner from 62 to 65, as the petitioner is working as Principal.

2. Mr. Kale learned counsel holding for Mr. A. R. Joshi, the learned counsel for the respondent/university submits that, the Performance Review Committee of the university would decide the said proposal within a period of one (1) week from today.

3. In view of the said statement, nothing remains for adjudication.

4. The respondent/university shall communicate the decision of the performance review committee to the petitioner immediately. Needless to state, in case negative recommendation is given by the Performance Review Committee in case of the petitioner, then the petitioner shall have remedy available at his disposal. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[V. L. ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]