

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.6962/2013

Priyadharshni Women's B.C.A. College,
Muktai Vidya Pratishthan,
Darephal, Through its Secretary.
Dr.Amol Rustumrao Bhalerao.
...Petitioner..

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others.
...Respondents...

.....

Shri N.D. Sonavane, Advocate for petitioner.
Shri G.R. Ingole, AGP for respondent no.1.
Smt.Vaishali D. Jadhav Patil, Advocate for respondent
no.2.
Respondent nos.3 & 4 deleted.

.....

**CORAM: S. S. SHINDE &
P.R. BORA, JJ.**

DATE: 17.04.2015

ORDER :

- 1] Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.
- 2] We do not see any reason to interfere in the impugned communication.
- 3] At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will submit fresh proposal.

- 2 -

4] It is needless to observe that the respondents will consider the same in accordance with the relevant policy.

5] The petition is rejected. No costs.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(S.S. SHINDE, J.)