

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.
APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION

Office Memoranda of appearance, directions and orders.	Notes, of Court's orders and Registrar's	Office Coram, orders or Registrar's	Court's or Judge's orders.
--	--	-------------------------------------	----------------------------

REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO. 183 OF 2014
IN WP/5207/2014

SATISH PRALHAD KHODPE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Kakade Amol N.
AGP for Respondent: Mr. S. A. Ambad.
Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 and 3: Mr. U.B.Bondar.

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.I.S.CHEEMA, JJ.
DATED: 24th APRIL, 2015.

PER COURT:

1. Mr. Kakade, the learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that this Court has disposed of the writ petition on the ground that the petitioners would be considered as per their seniority in the list of persons to be accommodated in Class-III from Class-IV. According to learned counsel for the Petitioners, the petitioners are the only ones who had got D. Ed. qualification and who can be considered as Assistant Teacher/ Shikshan

Sewaks. This aspect was not considered while disposing of the writ petition on 7th August, 2014.

2. We have heard learned A.G.P. and Mr. Bondar, learned counsel for the Respondents. It is submitted that, it is the policy that, the persons appointed on regular establishment, cannot be considered for appointment of Shikshan Sewak which is on contractual basis. The said policy is not challenged in the present review petition. In light of that, the review application cannot be considered. Review Application is disposed of. No costs.

[A.I.S.CHEEMA, J.] [S.V.GANGAPURWALA,J.]
Dt.24/04/2015
ans/183