

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1019/2015

Devanand Bhaurao Sanap.

...Petitioner..

Versus

The State of Maharashtra.

...Respondent...

.....

Shri V.R. Jain, Advocate appointed for petitioner.

Shri M.M. Nerlikar, APP for respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.B. CHAUDHARI &

INDIRA K. JAIN, JJ.

DATE: 03.09.2015

ORDER :

1] Heard learned counsel for the parties. Seen the papers including the application filed by the prisoner – petitioner and in particular paragraph no.2 thereof. Perused the impugned order.

2] The petitioner – prisoner was released on parole. He wants extension of parole. The reason given in paragraph no.2 of the application appears to be bogus.

- 2 -

The petition is summarily rejected. This order be informed to the petitioner – prisoner. In view of above, the Commissioner need not pass any order relating to present cause. The professional fees of the Advocate appointed for the petitioner is quantified at Rs.1,000/- (rupees one thousand only).

(INDIRA K. JAIN, J.)

(A.B. CHAUDHARI, J.)