

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL ST.NO.23344 OF 2011
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.9415 OF 2010

Pradeep Shukhdeo Ahire

Appellant

Versus

The Additional Commissioner,
Nasik Division and others

Respondents

Mr.Kiran Nagargar advocate for the applicant
Mr.S,G. Nandedkar, AGp for Respondent Nos.1 to 4
Mr. Y.B. Pathan advocate for respondent No.5

CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
P.R. BORA, JJ

Dated : 3rd JULY, 2015.

PER COURT :-

1 The appellant is taking exception to the decision of learned single Judge delivered in Writ Petition No.9415 of 2010 on 27.7.2010.

2 The subject matter taken up before the learned single Judge for scrutiny, relates to the mutation entry recorded by the revenue authorities and the orders passed by the appellate/revisional Court. It is well established that, the revenue entries are recorded for fiscal purposes and those entries in themselves cannot be construed as evidence of title of a person in relation to the said

property, nor such entry can be construed as confirmation or taking away the title of any person in relation to the property. The entries wrongly recorded by the revenue authorities can be corrected in accordance with determination of rights of the parties, by the competent Civil Courts.

2 In view of the explanation recorded above, no interference is called for in the decision rendered by the learned single Judge.

3 LPA stands dismissed.

(P.R. BORA, J)

(R.M.BORDE, J)