

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

Criminal Application No.4010 of 2013

Ramkisan Jijarao Bankar. **.. Applicant.**

Versus

Appa s/o Pundlik Bankar & Anr. **.. Respondents.**

Shri. Sudarshan J. Salunke, Advocate, for applicant.

Shri. P.V. Ambade, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Shri. M.M. Nerlikar, Additional Public Prosecutor, for
respondent No.2.

With

Criminal Application No.4012 of 2013

Ramkisan Jijarao Bankar. **.. Applicant.**

Versus

Raghuvir Ganpatrao Yadav & Anr. **.. Respondents.**

Shri. Sudarshan J. Salunke, Advocate, for applicant.

Shri. R.S. Deshmukh, Advocate with Shri. M.K.
Deshpande, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Shri. M.M. Nerlikar, Additional Public Prosecutor, for
respondent No.2.

With

Criminal Application No.4014 of 2013

Ramkisan Jijarao Bankar.

.. Applicant.

Versus

Rameshwar s/o Ambadas Korde
And Another.

.. Respondents.

Shri. Sudarshan J. Salunke, Advocate, for applicant.

Shri. R.S. Deshmukh, Advocate with Shri. M.K.
Deshpande, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Shri. M.M. Nerlikar, Additional Public Prosecutor, for
respondent No.2.

With

Criminal Application No.4032 of 2013

Ramkisan Jijarao Bankar.

.. Applicant.

Versus

Sanjay Sitaram Gaikwad & Anr. **.. Respondents.**

Shri. Sudarshan J. Salunke, Advocate, for applicant.

Shri. N.B. Suryawanshi, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Shri. M.M. Nerlikar, Additional Public Prosecutor, for
respondent No.2.

With

Criminal Application No.4033 of 2013

Ramkisan Jijarao Bankar.

.. Applicant.

Versus

Gautam Jugraj Duggad
And Others.

.. Respondents.

Shri. Sudarshan J. Salunke, Advocate, for applicant.

Shri. Anil S. Bajaj, Advocate with Shri. N.B Suryawanshi,
Advocate, for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Shri. M.M. Nerlikar, Additional Public Prosecutor, for
respondent No.5.

With

Criminal Application No.4036 of 2013

Ramkisan Jijarao Bankar.

.. Applicant.

Versus

Bhaskar s/o Kashinath Jadhav
And Another.

.. Respondents.

Shri. Sudarshan J. Salunke, Advocate, for applicant.

Shri. R.S. Deshmukh, Advocate with Shri. M.K.
Deshpande, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Shri. M.M. Nerlikar, Additional Public Prosecutor, for
respondent No.2.

CORAM: T.V. NALAWADE, J.

DATE : 2nd MARCH 2015

:SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES :

1) During speaking to the minutes learned counsel for the applicant submitted that there is mistake committed in the order made by this Court. He submits that in para 2 the name of respondent from Criminal Application No.4014/2013 is mentioned as "Ramkishan Bankar" when the name of this respondent is "Rameshwar Korde". Learned counsel for this respondent is present and he admits that name of this respondent is Rameshwar Korde. So in paragraph 2 of the order dated 25-2-2015 the name "Ramkishan Bankar" be substituted as "Rameshwar Korde".

2) Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that Mansing Rathod Circle Officer has got relief of quashing of the FIR against him though this Court observed in para 25 of the order dated 25-2-2015 that he was responsible for many illegal things and irregularity. He submitted that application as against Rameshwar

Korde needs to be treated as disposed of in view of the order made in his favour in Writ Petition No.1058/2013. Learned APP admits the said things as there is copy of the order made in said writ petition on the record.

3) In view of this submission, it is to be treated that the application as against Mansing Rathod is already disposed of as infructuous and to that extent the order made against Mansing Rathod is not to be executed and it is to be stated that the FIR as against him is quashed and set aside and that relief remains in existence in his favour. The motion is disposed of in the above terms. Corrected writ be issued accordingly.

Sd/-
(T.V. NALAWADE, J.)

rs1