IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10073 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 20808 OF 2014

Sanjumiya Tajuddin Shaikh Died through LRs. Shaikh Dastgir Sanjumiya and others .. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

Shri Jayant R. Patil, Advocate for Applicants.
Shri Bhusha V. Virdhe, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10212 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 12308 OF 2014

Bhagwat Pandhari Shinde and others .. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

Shri Jayant R. Patil, Advocate for Applicants.
Shri Shrinivas M. Ganachari, A.G.P. for Respondent No. 1.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10370 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 12460 OF 2014

Amruta Dattu Shinde .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

Shri Jayant R. Patil, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri Rahul B. Bagal, A.G.P. for Respondent No. 1.

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10379 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 21110 OF 2014

Madhu Tatya Shinde .. Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

Shri Jayant R. Patil, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri A. P. Basarkar, A.G.P. for Respondent No. 1.

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.
DATE : 09TH OCTOBER, 2015.

PER COURT :

. These are applications for condonation of delay. The learned counsel for applicants submits that, even acquiring body has filed appeals against the same judgment and award. The same are pending before this Court. The learned counsel further submits that, the applicants/claimants would not claim statutory benefits for the delayed period.

2. Considering the above and the fact that, the acquiring body has filed appeals, so also though the acquiring body is served, none appears for the acquiring body and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed. The delay is condoned. The civil applications are disposed of.

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Oct. 15