

kps

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8829 OF 2015
IN WP/9711/2013

HANMANT SHAMRAO SHINDE.
VERSUS
RANI LAXMIBAI SHIKSHAN SANSTHA, NANDED AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Rudrawar S.G.

Advocate for Respondents : Mr.V D Gunale for original Petitioner.

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 07th August, 2015

Per Court:

1 The Applicant submits that, erroneously the Applicant is shown as Non Applicant No.1 and Non-Applicants are shown as Applicants in this application. He prays for leave to correct. Leave to correct is granted. Correction be carried out forthwith.

2 The Applicant, by this application, prays for placing on record certain documents which, according to the Applicant, are vital and decisive in deciding the petition which is filed by the Non Applicants/ Educational Institution.

3 Shri Gunale, appearing for the Educational Institution,
opposes the request on the ground that the said documents were not
before the School Tribunal and therefore, should be disallowed to be filed
in these proceedings.

4 The Non Applicant/Petitioner Institution is not remedy less if
this application is allowed. After going through the documents, the
Petitioner Institution will be at liberty to file an additional affidavit, if
thought appropriate. In order to meet the ends of justice, I do not find that
the Applicant deserves to be precluded from filing these documents.

5 As such, this application is allowed in terms of prayer clause
(B). The documents be brought on record with a short affidavit within a
period of THREE WEEKS from today. The Petitioner/ Non Applicant
Institution, after receipt of the copy, is at liberty to file a counter affidavit.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)