

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 918 OF 2015

Satish Bhimrao Dolase,
Age: 27 years, Occ: Agri.,
R/o. Mandeulgaon, Tq. Badnapur,
Dist. Jalna.

...Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra
Through Police Station Sdar Bazar
Dist. Jalna.

...Respondent

.....
Mr. A.K. Bhosale, Advocate for applicant
Mr. S.R. Palnitkar, A.P.P. for respondent
.....

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 28th AUGUST, 2015

ORAL ORDER :

It is not in dispute that the vehicle of which custody is sought to be made under Section 451 of the Code of Criminal Procedure was also used and involved in earlier offences at the behest of the applicant i.e. C.R. Nos.216/2014 for the offence punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code, 210/2014 for the offence punishable under Sections 392 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 89/2014 for the offence punishable under Section 392 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 01/2013 for the offence punishable under Section 392 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 85/2011 for the offence punishable under

Section 392 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 54/2014, 78/2014, 95/2014, 158/2013 for the offence punishable under Section 392 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Based on the same, learned Court below rejected the prayer of the applicant. As the applicant has repeatedly committed crime by using the vehicle in question, it will be appropriate, in my opinion, to uphold the order passed by the Court below. The application stands rejected.

[N.W. SAMBRE, J.]