

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AT AURANGABAD.**

Criminal Revision Application No. 124 of 2003

Popat s/o. Tatyrao Tandale,
Age : 22 years,
Occupation : Agriculture,
R/o. Ranjangaon Shenpunji,
Taluka : Gangapur,
District : Aurangabad.

.. Revision Applicant
(Original respondent)

versus

Sow. Vanita w/o. Popat Tandale,
Age : 20 years,
Occupation : Service,
R/o. Ranjangaon Shenpunji,
Taluka : Gangapur,
District : Aurangabad,
At present C/o. Dadarao Kharat,
Borgaon Ganpati,
Taluka : Phulambri,
District : Aurangabad.

.. Respondent
(Original petitioner)

.....

*Mr. S.S. Kulkarni & Mrs. A.S. Kulkarni, Advocates,
for the revision applicant (Both absent).*

*Mr. Sharad S. Shinde, Advocate, holding for
Mr. V.V. Bhavthankar, Advocate, for the respondent.*

.....

CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.

DATE : 20TH MARCH 2015

PER COURT :

1. None appears for the revision applicant when the matter is called out.
2. As per office note dated 24th July 2003, the notice of sole respondent returned unserved. On 6th November 2003, this court had issued Rule and specifically refused interim relief. Liberty was granted to move the matter for early hearing.
3. None appeared for the applicant and the respondent on 23rd February 2015. Liberty granted by this court vide order dated 6th November 2003 was not availed of. In view of this, it is clear that the revision applicant is not interested in prosecuting the matter.
4. In the circumstances, the Revision Application is dismissed for want of prosecution. Rule is discharged.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV)
JUDGE

.....