

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.8082 OF 2013

Shivaji s/o Vithal Yellam

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.12 OF 2014

Narhari s/o Savaleram Adik & another

Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.911 OF 2014

Dadasaheb s/o Appasaheb Yelam

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4587 OF 2014

Rajendra s/o Trimbakraj Chhaged

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mr.D.R.Jayabhar, advocate for the petitioner
Mrs.A.V.Gondhalekar, Mr.S.G.Karlekar, A.G.P. for Respondents
No.1 & 2.

CORAM : R.M.BORDE &**P.R.BORA, JJ.****DATE : 14th September, 2015****PER COURT:**

1 In the affidavit-in-reply, it is recorded that the petitioner has an alternate efficacious remedy provided under Sections 33 and 34 of the Maharashtra Agriculture Lands (Ceiling on Holdings) Act, 1961, read with clause 9.7 of the Government Resolution dated 02.02.2012. It also transpires that the Committee has already taken a decision, which has been confirmed by the Collector, Ahmednagar and said decision is being assailed in the instant petition.

2 In view of availability of alternate efficacious remedy for redressal of grievance raised by petitioners in instant petitions, keeping open an option to the petitioners to avail of said remedy, writ petitions stand disposed of.

**P.R.BORA
JUDGE**

adb/wp808213

**R.M.BORDE
JUDGE**