

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7 OF 2014 WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3705 OF 2015

Baburao s/o Ramrao Chokhat ... APPLICANT

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri S.K.Chavan, Advocate for applicant
Shri V.D. Godbharle, A.P.P. for State
.....

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3376 OF 2015

Pratap s/o Raosaheb Jamkar
and others ... APPLICANTS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri Arvind Deshmukh, Advocate with
Shri S.P. Katneshwarkar, Advocate for applicants
Shri V.D. Godbharle, A.P.P. for State
.....

CORAM: S.S. SHINDE AND
A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.

DATED: 15th July, 2015.

ORAL ORDER :

1. We have heard the counsel for the parties and
perused the statements of the witnesses. The offences are

disclosed and prosecution agency has collected sufficient material during the course of investigation, same needs to be tested during trial. The applications are rejected.

At this stage, counsel appearing for the applicants makes oral prayer to withdraw the criminal applications with liberty to resort to appropriate remedy. We have perused the entire material placed on record. The prosecution agency has collected enough material so as to try the case. There are serious allegations of grabbing the land of the Government College by preparing forged documents and role is ascribed to the present applicants. Therefore, the evidence needs to be tested during trial. Permission to withdraw the criminal applications is refused.

2. These observations are prima facie. The trial Court should not get influenced by the observations made hereinabove, during trial.

(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)

(S.S. SHINDE, J.)