

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.6541/2014

Gorakshanath Karbhari Rashinkar.
...Petitioner..

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others.
...Respondents...

.....

Shri D.B. Pawar, Advocate for petitioner.
Shri N.B. Patil, AGP for respondent no.1.
Respondent no.2 served.
Shri S.T. Shelke, Advocate for respondent no.3.

.....

**CORAM: S. S. SHINDE &
P.R. BORA, JJ.**

DATE: 17.04.2015

ORDER :

- 1] Heard learned counsel for the parties.
- 2] We do not find any substance in the contention of the petitioner that the two years minimum experience is arbitrary, unreasonable and the Government resolution dated 27.9.2011 is applied retrospectively. Even otherwise also, we are not able to comprehend that in absence of even two years experience, the petitioner can claim regularization. The impugned order itself is self-

- 2 -

explanatory and needs no interference. The same is confirmed. No case is made out. The petition is rejected. No costs.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(S.S. SHINDE, J.)