

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10747 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 18935 OF 2014**

Gorakhnath Vitthal Dhatbale
and others

.. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri N. J. Pahune Patil, Advocate for Applicants.
Shri A. M. Phule, A.G.P. for Respondent No. 1.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.
DATE : 09TH OCTOBER, 2015.**

PER COURT :

. Though the respondent No. 2 is served, none appears for the respondent No. 2. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that, the applicants/claimants have submitted undertaking that, they would not claim statutory benefit for the delayed period.

2. The averments made in the application are not controverted by filing affidavit. For the reasons stated in the application, the application is allowed. The delay is condoned. The civil application is disposed of.

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]