

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 3999 OF 2014
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 509 OF 2006
(Ajinkya Satish Gaikwad Vs. The State of Maharashtra)

WITH

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 519 OF 2006
(Shyamrao Namdeo Harba Vs. The State of Maharashtra)

Mr. Satej S. Jadhav, Advocate for the applicant/
appellant in Cri. Application No. 3999/2014 and
Cri. Appeal No. 509/2006

Mr. R.N. Dhorde, Senior Advocate for the appellant
in Cri. Appeal No. 519/2006

Mr. S.R. Palnitkar, A.P.P. for the respondent-State

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 21/07/2015

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard. Read the police report which shows that original appellant – Satish has died. The present applicant i.e. the son of the deceased appellant wants to continue with Criminal Appeal No. 509/2006. In the circumstances, the applicant is permitted to continue with Criminal Appeal No. 509/2006 in place of his father

— deceased Satish. Necessary corrections in the title clause of the appeal be carried during the course of the day.

2. The present application accordingly stands disposed of.

[M.T. JOSHI]
JUDGE

npj/criapln3999-2014