

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10502 OF 2009
IN FAST/19414/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10505 OF 2009
IN FAST/19483/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10508 OF 2009
IN FAST/19320/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10511 OF 2009
IN FAST/19315/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10515 OF 2009
IN FAST/19442/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10521 OF 2009
IN FAST/19310/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10525 OF 2009
IN FAST/19470/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10528 OF 2009
IN FAST/19333/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10531 OF 2009
IN FAST/19476/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10534 OF 2009
IN FAST/19463/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10539 OF 2009
IN FAST/19437/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10542 OF 2009
IN FAST/19371/2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10545 OF 2009
IN FAST/19375/2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10548 OF 2009
IN FAST/19447/2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10552 OF 2009
IN FAST/19367/2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10557 OF 2009
IN FAST/19339/2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10561 OF 2009
IN FAST/19455/2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10564 OF 2009
IN FAST/19430/2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10567 OF 2009
IN FAST/19457/2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10570 OF 2009
IN FAST/19467/2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10573 OF 2009
IN FAST/19475/2009

--

Mrs.K.B.Bharaswadkar, advocate for applicants

Mr.S.S.Manale, advocate for respondent no.1

Mr.S.P.Dound, AGP for respondent – State

--

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.
DATE : FEBRUARY 24, 2015

PER COURT :

Heard.

2] Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the decision to file the appeal, was taken at various levels and as there was communication gap, delay has occurred in filing the appeals.

3] In my view, the delay is satisfactorily explained. The same is therefore, condoned. The applications are allowed and disposed of accordingly.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]

kbp