

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6755 OF 2015

Manish D/o Machindra Garje .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri R. D. Sanap, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri K. J. Ghute Patil, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri Vijay C. Patil, Advocate h/f Shri U. B. Bondar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 07TH JULY, 2015.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Sanap, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner has filed an appeal against the selection of respondent Nos. 3 and 4 as Anganwadi Sevika, however, they are not yet appointed. The learned counsel further submits that, the respondent No. 2 has said that, he would not decide the appeal.

2. We are not ready to accept the said submission. When any appeal/compliant is filed, the authority is required to decide the same on its own merits and in accordance with law.

3. Mr. Patil, the learned counsel holding for Mr. Bondar, the learned counsel appears for the respondent No. 2. The learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 shall instruct the respondent No. 2 to decide the appeal/complaint filed by the petitioner on its own merits, in accordance with law and policy. The writ petition is disposed of.

[V. K. JADHAV, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/July 15