

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6340 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17004 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule APPLICANT
VERSUS

Hiraman Dagdu Mali & Another RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6384 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17210 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule APPLICANT
VERSUS

Rama Dhana Mali & Another RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6384 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17210 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule APPLICANT
VERSUS

Rama Dhana Mali & Another RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6338 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17007 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule APPLICANT
VERSUS

Nathhu Shankar Patil (Died) LRs & Another RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6381 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17188 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule APPLICANT
VERSUS

Mangla Shivaji Mali & Another RESPONDENTS

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6401 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17194 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule
VERSUS
Yamunabai Uttam Pawar & Another

APPLICANT
RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6398 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17219 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule
VERSUS
Sahebrao Chintaman Pawar & Another

APPLICANT
RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6408 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17228 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule
VERSUS
Sitabai Ramsingh Rajput & Another

APPLICANT
RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6404 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17231 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule
VERSUS
Bharat Deosingh Rajput & Another

APPLICANT
RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6410 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17191 OF 2014

Executive Engineer, MIP Dhule
VERSUS
Sharad Savta Mali & Another

APPLICANT
RESPONDENTS

.....

Mrs. Vaishali Patil-Jadhav, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. S. G. Sangale, AGP for respondent State

.....

[CORAM : M. T. JOSHI, J.]

DATE : 18th FEBRUARY, 2015

ORDER :

1. Heard both the sides.
2. Mrs. Patil, learned advocate submits that as a conscious decision was required to be taken at various levels as to whether appeal should be filed or not and therefore, the delay has occurred.
3. Considering the submissions, the delay is sufficiently explained and therefore the same is condoned. The applications are therefore allowed, without any order as to costs.
4. All the applications stand disposed of accordingly.

[M. T. JOSHI, J.]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6390 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.17222 OF 2014

The Executive Engineer, MIP, Dhule
VERSUS
Ramabai Bhilla Chambhar, & Another

APPLICANT
RESPONDENTS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6394 OF 2014
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO. 17225 OF 2014

The Executive Engineer, MIP, Dhule	APPLICANT
VERSUS	
Bhatu Dagdu Mali & Others	RESPONDENTS

Mrs. Vaishali Patil-Jadhav, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. S. G. Sangale, AGP for respondent State

[CORAM : M. T. JOSHI, J.]
DATE : 18th FEBRUARY, 2015

ORDER :

1. Mrs. Patil, learned advocate submits that steps would be taken within a period of four weeks from today.
2. Stand over to 25th March, 2015.

[M. T. JOSHI, J.]