

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

906 WRIT PETITION NO.6080 OF 2015

BHARAT BABULAL RESHWAL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mahajan Surekha P.
Mr. VS Badakh, AGP for Respondent No.1;
Mr. Sonawane Maheshkumar S., Adv. For R/3.
Mr. SP Brahme, Adv. for Resp.Nos. 4 and 5.

...

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.
Dated: December 08, 2015

...

PER COURT :-

- 1) Heard. Upon perusal of the impugned communication it appears that the Education Officer has not considered the documents submitted by the petitioner along with the reply. In that view of the matter, on this ground alone, the impugned communication is quashed and set aside. The Education Officer is directed to hear the petitioner and representative of the institution afresh and take a decision about entitlement of the petitioner prior to the period of issuing order of termination, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of eight weeks from today.
- 2) The writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(S.S. SHINDE, J.)

...