

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6545 OF 2014 IN FAST/16380/2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6543 OF 2014 IN FAST/16520/2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7926 OF 2014 IN FAST/16632/2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6541 OF 2014 IN FAST/16531/2014

MADHUSUDAN @ MADHUKAR SHRIAM AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE MAHARASHTRA HOUSING AN AREA DEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY, MUMBAI AND ANR

.....

Mr C. K. Thombre & Mr A. B. Kadethankar, Advocate for applicants
Mr R. R. Sancheti, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2
Mr D. V. Tele, AGP for respondent No. 3 / State

.....

CORAM : **S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.**
DATE : **11TH SEPTEMBER, 2015.**

PER COURT:

. Heard learned Counsel for the respective parties.

2. The learned Counsel for the respondents oppose the application on the ground that no sufficient cause is stated. The averments made in the applications are not controverted.

3. For the reasons stated in the applications, same are allowed. Delay is condoned.

4. Civil Applications stand disposed of.

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

sgp