

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

Office Memoranda of appearance, directions and orders.	Notes, of Court's orders and Registrar's	Office Coram, Court's or Judge's orders.
--	--	--

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10826 OF 2015
IN RC/828/2012

SHABANA BEGUM SAYED MASOOD AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10827 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17121/2012

BABU LAXMAN GOLEWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10828 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17113/2012

RAJESHWAR LAXMAN TARKANTE AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10829 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17111/2012

SAYED SAMIR SAYED KAISAR AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10830 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17119/2012

SURYAKANT KISHANRAO TARKANTE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10831 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17101/2012

KISHAN SAYANNA DUBBEWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10832 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/18434/2012

RANUKABAI BABURAJESH KUNTE AND ANR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

...
AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10833 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17107/2012

BABUMIYA MAHEBOOB AND ANR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

...
AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10834 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17104/2012

NOORKHAN MAHEBOOB
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

...

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10857 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17099/2012

KOINBEE ISMAIL AND ANR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

...
AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10858 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/18432/2012

PRABHAVATI VITHALRAO KUNTE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

....
AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10859 OF 2015
IN CRAFT/17103/2012

SURVAR BABANSAB AND ANR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Choudhary Nitin S.
AGP for Respondent: Mr. S. N. Morampalle/ Mr. V.H.Dighe/
Mr. B. B. Bagul/ NMr. G. O. Watamwar.

CORAM: T. V. NALAWADE, J.
DATED: 20th NOVEMBER, 2015.

PER COURT:

1. All the applications are filed for condonation of delay caused in filing revisions against the orders made

by the Land Acquisition officer who rejected the claim. In one matter, there is a delay of 28 days and in all other matters the delay is of 4 to 5 days. Both sides are heard.

2. Learned A.G.P. is heard for Respondent Nos.1 and 2. Nobody appears for Respondent No.3.

3. In view of the contents of the application and the submissions made, this Court holds that sufficient cause is shown. The applications are allowed. Delay is condoned.

[T.V. NALAWADE, J.]

Dt.20/11/2015
ans/10826