

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2876 OF 2015

Yashoda w/o Maroti Katkadke

... Applicant

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

.....
Mr V. M. Maney, Advocate for the applicant
Mrs M. A. Deshpande, APP for respondent/State
.....

CORAM : **N. W. SAMBRE, J.**

DATE : **28TH SEPTEMBER, 2015.**

PER COURT:

. Heard Mr V. M. Maney, learned Counsel for the applicant and Mrs M. A. Deshpande, learned APP for respondent/State.

2. The bail was granted to the non-applicants/accused persons prior to the filing of the charge-sheet. The learned Sessions Court while ordering grant of bail on 19th May, 2015, has noted that there does not appear to be a direct involvement of the non-applicants No. 2 to 4 in the commission of crime in question.

3. Upon perusal of the charge-sheet, it is noted that the discovery u/s 27 is noted at the behest of the husband – Maruti whereas;

the FIR reflects the specific role of the father-in-law, mother-in-law and sister-in-law.

4. In view thereof, in my opinion, no case for cancellation of regular bail is made out. The application fails and same stands rejected. No costs.

[N. W. SAMBRE, J.]

sgp