

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6121 OF 2014

1. Baliram s/o Janardhan Ghumare,
Age: 40 years, Occ: Agri.,

2. Dagdu s/o Laxman Ghubade,
Age: 65 years, Occ: Agri.,

All R/o. Pimpaladevi,
Tq. & Dist. Beed.

...Petitioners

versus

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through the Principle Secretary,
Food & Civil Supply Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

2. The Deputy Commissioner (Supply),
Divisional Commissioner Office,
Aurangabad.

3. The District Supply Officer,
Beed, District Beed.

4. Bhaskar s/o Haribhau Kadam,
Age: 55 years, Occ: Agri. & Fair Price
Shop Holder,
R/o. Potegaon, Post Pimpalner,
Tq. & District Beed.

...Respondents

.....

Mr. Mrigesh D. Narwadkar, Advocate for petitioners.
Mrs. Yogita M. Kshirsagar, A.G.P. for respondent/State.
Mr. Sudhir G. Bhalerao, Advocate for respondent No.4.

.....

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATED : 19TH JANUARY, 2015

PER COURT :

. Learned Counsel for respondent No. 4 has invited my

attention to the consequential order passed by the District Supply Officer on 26/08/2014 pursuant to the impugned order dated 06/06/2014 passed by the Deputy Commissioner (Supply), Aurangabad. According to him, as after remand, fresh order is already passed, petition has rendered infructuous.

2. The above referred statement is not objected. As such, writ petition stands disposed of, as infructuous.

[N.W. SAMBRE, J.]