

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.
Criminal Appellate Jurisdiction.**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2779 OF 2015.

RAJENDRA KARBHARI SHELKE.
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA.

Appearance =>

Mr. Manoharrao A. Tandale, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mrs. S.G. Chincholkar, Additional Public Prosecutor for the State of Maharashtra.

CORAM : VM. Deshpande, J.

DATE : 3rd July, 2015.

Per Court :-

Present Criminal Application is filed by the Applicant for grant of regular bail in connection with CR No. I 168/2014 registered with Police Station, Mukundwadi, Aurangabad District - Aurangabad for the offences punishable under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code. (Sessions Case No.274 Of 2014)

[2] Heard Mr. Manoharrao A. Tandale, learned counsel for the Applicant and Mrs. S.G. Chincholkar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the Respondent - State of Maharashtra.

[3] This is a second application for bail. First Application – Criminal Application No.1344 Of 2015 was withdrawn by the learned counsel for the Applicant on 24th March, 2015. No liberty was taken

taken at that time. Further there is no change in circumstances in the matter. In that view of the matter, present Criminal Application cannot be considered afresh on merit. Hence, Criminal Application is liable to be rejected and it is rejected.

[4] Mr. Manoharrao A. Tandale, learned counsel submitted that present Applicant is only accused in Sessions Case. Mr. Chincholkar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that Charge is already framed and case is fixed for production of Muddemal.

[5] Speedy trial is a right of accused, who is in jail. In that view of the matter and in view of the fact that Charge is already framed, the learned trial court is directed to complete the trial as expeditiously as possible, not more than six months, from the date of receipt of this order.

(V.M. DESHPANDE, J.)