

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

**REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO. 160 OF 2011
IN WP/11678/2010**

VIRSANG MAHALING SWAMI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr.V.G.Sakolkar h/f Rodge Pratap G.
AGP for Respondents State: Mrs.S.D.Shelke
Adv.Mr.Yenge Balaji B. Adv For Respdt.5
...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
V. L.ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATED : 27th FEBRUARY, 2015

P.C. :-

Heard Mr.Sakolkar, learned counsel for petitioner. Petitioner seeks review of the order dated 8/6/2011 dismissing Writ Petition No.11678/2010. Mr.Sakolkar, learned counsel submits that the review applicant does not wish to challenge the judgment of the scrutiny committee now and is only seeking relief with regard to the protection of service.

2] In Writ Petition No.11678/2010, there was no prayer with regard to the protection in service. Writ Petition was limited to the extent of challenging the judgment of the scrutiny committee invalidating caste claim of the petitioner. As the learned counsel makes a statement that the review applicant does not wish to assail the judgment of the scrutiny committee now, the review application as such would not be tenable. In case the petitioner wants to make

any prayer with regard to service, the petitioner can take up appropriate proceeding with regard to the same. Review Application as such is disposed of.

V.L.ACHLIYA, J.

S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.

umg/