

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10006 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15964 OF 2010**

The State of Maharashtra
through the Collector, Latur and Anr.

... Applicants

VERSUS

Nagappa s/o Havappa Sagre

... Respondent

.....
Mr Devakate, Adocate for the applicants
Mr P. P. More, AGP for respondent/State
.....

ALONG WITH

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10003 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15981 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10008 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15993 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10010 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15973 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10014 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15969 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10020 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15955 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10022 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15961 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10024 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15996 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10026 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 16000 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10030 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15933 OF 2010**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10032 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL ST. NO. 15987 OF 2010**

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.
DATE : 28TH AUGUST, 2015.**

PER COURT:

. Heard learned AGP for the applicants/State and Mr Devakate, learned Counsel for respondent-sole.

2. Learned Counsel for the respondent opposes the application on the ground that no sufficient cause is stated.

3. I have gone through the application. The averments made in the application are not controverted by respondent by filing affidavit.

4. In light of the above and for the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed. Delay is condoned.
5. Civil Application disposed of accordingly.

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

sgp