

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO.5036 OF 2008**

Eknath Pandit Thorat,  
Age-34 years, Occu:Nil,  
R/o-Shelarwadi, Near Mahadeo  
Temple, Chitod Road,  
Tq. & Dist-Dhule.

**...PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

- 1) The State of Maharashtra,  
Through the Collector,  
Dhule, Dist-Dhule,
- 2) The Maharashtra Industrial  
Development Corporation,  
Through Regional Officer,  
Near I.T.I. Trimbak Road,  
Satpur, Nashik-7.

**...RESPONDENTS**

...

Mr.S.P. Brahme Advocate for Petitioner.  
Mrs.M.S. Patni, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1.  
Mr.S.S. Dande Advocate for Respondent No.2.

...

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND  
A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.**

**DATE : 19TH MARCH, 2015**

**ORDER :**

1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner. The learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner is entitled for getting job from the Project Affected Persons Category.

2. Mr. Dande, learned counsel for Respondent No.2 submits that in view of the Judgment of the Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Rajendra Pandurang Pagare vs. State of Maharashtra, 2009(4) Mh.L.J. Page 961**, the Petitioner has to compete with other persons in the said category.

3. The Full Bench of this Court in the case of **Rajendra**, referred supra, has laid to rest the controversy that appointment to person from Project Affected Persons Category cannot be given without competing with the other persons in the said category. Even otherwise the Petitioner must have now crossed the upper age limit for getting

appointment in Project Affected Person's Category.  
In light of that Writ Petition stands disposed of.  
Rule discharged. No costs.

**[A.I.S.CHEEMA, J.]**

asb/MAR15

**[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]**