

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.5130/2015

Vidyadhar Damodhar More,
age 36 yrs., occu.nil,
r/o Parbhani Tq. & Dist.Parbhani.
At present r/o Wakad Tq.Risod
Dist.Washim.

...Petitioner..

Versus

Scheduled Tribe Certificate
Scrutiny Committee, Aurangabad,
Through its Member Secretary.

...Respondent...

.....

Shri S.S. Phatale, Advocate for petitioner.

Shri P.S. Patil, Advocate for respondent.

.....

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.I.S. CHEEMA, JJ.**

DATE: 06.05.2015

ORDER :

1] Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2] Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith and the petition is taken up for final disposal with the consent of learned counsel for the parties.

3] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in need of validity certificate for the

- 2 -

purpose of LPG dealership. According to the learned counsel, the respondent – committee is not accepting the proposal submitted by the petitioner for validation of his tribe claim as belonging to Thakur scheduled tribe.

4] Learned counsel for the respondent submits that if some need is shown, the committee accepts the proposal.

5] The petitioner has shown the need i.e. he requires the validity certificate for the purpose of LPG dealership.

6] Considering the above, the petitioner may submit the proposal seeking validation in respect of his tribe claim as belonging to Thakur scheduled tribe with the respondent – committee. On receipt of said proposal, the respondent – committee shall scrutinize said proposal in accordance with law and take decision on it considering all the relevant aspects of the matter.

7] Rule is accordingly made absolute with no order as to costs.

(A.I.S. CHEEMA, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)