

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2219 OF 2012
IN
CRIMINAL REVISION ST. NO. 101 OF 2012**

The State of Maharashtra,
through Police Station, Hingoli Gramin.

..Applicant

Versus

Mumtaz Ali Mehboob Ali Pathan

.Respondent

.....
Shri. S. G. Nandedkar, Advocate for the applicant
Shri. P. S. Agrawal, Advocate for the respondent
.....

**CORAM : SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.
DATED : APRIL 10TH, 2015.**

PER COURT : -

. Heard.

2. The applicant/State being aggrieved by the judgment and order passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hingoli in Criminal Appeal No. 43 of 2009, dated 20th January, 2012, thereby acquitting the respondent, has filed the revision application.

3. For the reasons assigned in para 2 of the application, the delay of 9 days deserves to be condoned in the interest of justice. Hence, the application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B.' and same stands disposed of.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.)