

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7595 OF 2007

Ramkishan Shahu Adsul .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Shri A. R. Tapse h/f Shri K. J. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the
Petitioner

Smt. M. A. Deshpande, A. G. P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 896 OF 2008

Namdev Pandu Adsul .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Shri A. R. Tapse h/f Shri D. N. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the
Petitioner

Smt. M. A. Deshpande, A. G. P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2

Shri K. J. Ghute Patil, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND

A. I. S. CHEEMA, JJ.

DATE : 18TH MARCH, 2015.

PER COURT :

1) Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned A. G. P. for the respondents. The warrant on the basis of which the petitioners are placing reliance has not been found to be genuine. The Commission has also submitted the report holding that, the claim of the petitioners is not genuine. The learned A. G. P. states that, as the Commission has found the claim of the petitioners is not genuine and the source of the warrant is not disclosed, the claim of petitioners can not be considered.

2) In back drop of the aforesaid submissions and the fact that the petitioners are not in a position to explain the source of warrant. The case of the petitioner cannot be considered. Writ petitions are accordingly disposed of. Rule discharged. No costs.

[A. I. S. CHEEMA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]