

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

...

941 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11076 OF 2014
IN FAST/11888/2014 WITH CA/11077/2014 IN
FAST/12311/2014 WITH CA/11079/2014 IN FAST/9428/2014
WITH CA/11084/2014 IN FAST/10219/2014 WITH
CA/11085/2014 IN FAST/10275/2014 WITH CA/11086/2014 IN
FAST/10827/2014 WITH CA/11087/2014 IN FAST/10804/2014
WITH CA/11088/2014 IN FAST/10224/2014 WITH
CA/11091/2014 IN FAST/10262/2014 WITH CA/11092/2014 IN
FAST/9385/2014

VITHAL JANARDHAN KIRDANT AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Gaikwad T. G.
AGP for Respondents State: Mr. P. N. Kutti Puthenkulam
Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. B. A. Shinde

...

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.

DATE : 9th October, 2015

PER COURT :

1. These are applications for condonation of delay. Mr. Gaikwad, the learned counsel submits that the applicants have given undertaking that for the delayed period, they would not claim statutory benefit, in case the compensation amount is enhanced. In companion references, the court has granted more compensation, against which the acquiring body has filed appeal. Present appeal can be considered along-with it.

2. For the reasons stated in the application and bonafide shown, the applications are allowed. Delay is condoned. Civil applications are disposed of.

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

JPC