

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AT AURANGABAD.**

Writ Petition No. 5070 of 2015

Vidyabhushan Yuvak Mandal,
Bulandnagar, Udgir,
Taluka : Udgir,
District : Latur,
Through its Secretary,
Sanjay s/o. Digambarrao Mule,
Age : 55 years,
Occupation : Service,
R/o. Udgir, Taluka : Udgir,
District : Latur.

.. Petitioner.

versus

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Social Justice and Special Aid
Department, Mantralaya Extension
Building, Mumbai.
2. The Hon'ble Minister,
Department of Social Justice
And Special Aid, Maharashtra
State, Mantralaya, Mumbai.
3. The Commissioner for Disabled
And for the persons with
Disabilities, Maharashtra State,
Pune.
4. The District Social Welfare Officer,
Zilla Parishad, Latur.
5. Chandrasagar Bahu-Uddeshiya
Sevabhavi Sanstha at Aurad
Shahajani, Taluka : Nilanga,
District : Latur,
through its Secretary.

6. Shivchatrapati Bahu-Uddeshiya
Shikshan Sanstha, Aurad
Shahajani, Taluka : Nilanga,
District : Latur,
Through its President.

.. Respondents.

.....

Mr. S.S. Thombre, Advocate, for the petitioner.

*Mr. V.H. Dighe, Assistant Government Pleader, for
respondent nos.1 to 3.*

Respondent no.4 served (Absent).

Mr. S.B. Gastgar, Advocate, for respondent no.5.

Mr. V.R. Dhorde, Advocate, for respondent no.6.

.....

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE &
A.M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 14TH SEPTEMBER 2015

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned Counsel appearing for respective parties. None for respondent no.4 though served.
2. The respondent no.1 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 31st December 2014, addressed to the Commissioner of Handicap Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune, of which copy is addressed to respondent no.1, within a period of six weeks from

today.

3. However, we make it clear, that we have not expressed any opinion on the contents of the said representation addressed by the petitioner. The respondent no.1 shall extend opportunity of hearing to all the concerned, including respondent nos.5 and 6 and take decision on merits. The respondent no.1 shall decide the issue keeping in view the Government policy and the earlier orders passed by this Court.

4. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

(A.M. BADAR)
JUDGE

(S.S. SHINDE)
JUDGE

.....