

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2031 OF 2015
AND
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2030 OF 2015

Nihalchand s/o. Amolkchand Sethi,
died, through Lrs.
1-A] Ravindrakumar s/o. Nihalchand
Sethi and anr. ..Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and ors. ..Respondents

--

Mr.A.S.Gandhi, advocate for applicant

Mrs.R.K.Ladda, APP for respondent no.1

Mr.D.K.Kulkarni, advocate for respondent no.5

Mr.A.U.Deshmukh, advocate for respondent no.6

--

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.
DATE : OCTOBER 12, 2015

PER COURT :

Heard both sides.

2] The record would reveal that the order of
dismissal of complaint filed by present
applicants, is already set aside by the Sessions

court in Criminal Revision No.134 of 2010 (Exhibit R-1). Against the very order of learned Judicial Magistrate F.C., the legal representatives of the complainant wish to prefer an appeal and therefore, present applications for condonation of delay as well as for grant of leave to file appeal, are preferred.

3] Mr.Gandhi, learned counsel for the applicants, submits that legal representatives of the complainant had no knowledge about the order passed by the Sessions Court in Criminal Revision.

4] In that view of the matter, nothing survives in the present proceedings. Both Criminal Applications stand disposed of without any order as to costs.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]

kbp