

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4244 OF 2015

Shilatai Ajeetrao Patil Rajurkar ...PETITIONER

versus

State of Maharashtra and ors ...RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. N.P. Patil, Jamalpurkar, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. V.G. Shelke, A.G.P. For respondents No. 1 to 3.
Mr. A.N. Irpatgire, Advocate for respondent No. 4

.....
with
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4780 OF 2015
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 4244 OF 2015

The Latur District Central Co-op
Bank Ltd. Latur ...APPLICANT

versus

State of Maharashtra and ors ...RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. D.J. Choudhary, Advocate for applicant
Mr. V.G. Shelke, A.G.P. For respondents No. 1 to 3.
Mr. A.N. Irpatgire, Advocate for respondent No. 4
Mr. N.P. Patil, Jamalpurkar, Advocate for respondent No. 5

.....
CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATED : 24th APRIL, 2015

Order :-

1. Petition raises disputed questions of facts. According to the petitioner, respondent No. 4 happens to be office bearer of *Belsangvi Vividh Karyakari Seva Sahakari Sanstha, Tq. Jalkot, District Latur*. For said purpose, he relies on the list of board of directors dated 31-03-2014 annexed to the writ petition at page No. 22 containing name of

respondent No. 4. Whereas, it is the case of respondent No. 4 that he had resigned from the managing committee way-back in November-2014. Since then he had not been on the board of directors of said society.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that resolution was passed by the board of directors of said society nominating respondent No. 4 as representative on their behalf for participating in elections of federal society.

3. Although Mr. Patil contends that all these aspects had not been brought before the election officer, contention of respondent No. 4 is all these aspects had been submitted and argued before the learned election officer. In such a disputed position, I am not inclined to entertain writ petition.

4. Writ petition, as such, stands rejected. However, it would be open for the petitioner to take up appropriate proceedings at proper stage including an election petition.

5. In view of disposal of writ petition nothing further survives for consideration in civil application No. 4780 of 2015 and the same stands disposed of accordingly.

(SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.)