

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGBAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6645 OF 2015

DILIP DHANSINGH TAYADE
VERSUS
MAHARASHTRA STATE POWER GENERATION CO LTD, MUMBAI
AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Deshmukh Vijay Vasantrao
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. A.V. Deshmukh
Advocate for Respondent nos. 1 and 2 : Mr. S.M. Godsay

...
CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & A.M. BADAR, JJ.

Dated: October 07, 2015

...
PER COURT :-

Heard.

2. The learned counsel appearing for Respondent Nos.1 and 2, on instructions, submits that, at the relevant time when the land of the petitioner's father was acquired, the petitioner's father has suggested the name of son-in-law for appointment under the Project Affected category. Accordingly, son-in-law i.e. brother-in-law of the petitioner is working on the said post.

3. It is contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that, the appointment of said son-in-law is not in accordance with law and as per Government Resolution.

4. However, since the father of the petitioner stated to have consented for the appointment of son-in-law, we refuse to exercise the extra ordinary jurisdiction. Hence the Petition is rejected.

(A.M. BADAR, J.)

(S.S. SHINDE, J.)

...

sga/-