

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

902 WRIT PETITION NO. 3698 OF 2015
WITH CA/8133/2015

NANDA BHARAT SAKAT

VERSUS

STATE ELECTION COMMISSION, MUMBAI AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Gaware Niteen V.

Mr. Shelke Shivaji T., Adv. For R/1

Mr. NB Patil, AGP AGP for Respondents: 2 & 3.

...

CORAM : R. M. BORDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.

Dated: July 23, 2015

...

PER COURT :-

1) Heard. The petitioner is objecting to order passed by the Collector on 9.2.2015, thereby rejecting the objections raised by the petitioner and others in respect of allotment and rotation of the seats from Scheduled Castes category.

2) Learned Counsel appearing for State Election Commission informs that the process of election has balready commenced and the stage of acceptance of nomination papers is over and today is the date prescribed for publication of final list of contesting candidates.

3) In view of the advanced stage of process of election, in exercise of extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, no interference is called for. It would be open for the petitioner to raise his grievance before the appropriate forum at appropriate stage in accordance with the provisions of law.

bdv

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

(2)

4) It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merits of the contentions raised by the petitioner.

5) With liberty, as above, the writ petition stands rejected.

6) Pending civil application does not survive and the same stands disposed of.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)

...