

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 239 OF 2007

Prabhulal @ Parmanand S/o
Hiraman Choundiye

.. Petitioner

Vs.

Rohit Shashikant Patwari

.. Respondent

None present for petitioner

Mr. Ruchir S. Wani, Advocate i/b Mr. A.S. Bajaj, Advocate for
respondent

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 10/07/2015

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the revision petitioner.

2. Mr. Wani i/b Mr. Bajaj files on record the true copy of the sale deed which would show that in-fact, the suit property is now sold by the respondent - Rohit in favour of the joint family i.e. the son of the present petitioner, who is 70 years old and other family members, however, no cognizance of the same can be taken as the petitioner was a tenant.

3. In absence of the learned counsel for the petitioner, heard Mr. Wani i/b Mr. Bajaj. Perused the record.

4. The record would show that decree for eviction was sought by the respondent on the ground of willful default in payment of rent, need of the respondent-landlord for personal use and occupation.

5. Upon reading of the record, it is clear that concurrent findings of facts are recorded by the Courts below. No material irregularity in appreciation of the evidence is shown. In the circumstances, the Civil Revision Application is hereby dismissed without any order as to costs.

[M.T. JOSHI]
JUDGE

arp/