

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.3043 OF 2014

Chandrashekhar Dattatraya Pawar .. Petitioner

Versus

Scheduled Tribe Certificate .. Respondent
Scrutiny Committee Nandurbar
& Others

Mr. D.B.Shinde h/f Mr. S.S. Phatale, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs. M.S.Patni, AGP for the respondent/State.
Mr. V.C.Patil h/f Mr.S.M.Godsay, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**CORAM : A.V. NIRGUDE &
A.M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATED : 09.07.2015

P.C. :-

1. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith and heard finally with the consent of learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner seeks following reliefs.

“(A) This Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to issue writ of mandamus directing respondent No.1-Scrutiny Committee to decide tribe claim of petitioner within stipulated period.

(B) This Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to direct the respondent No.2 to forthwith promote petitioner in the next higher post without insisting for certificate of validity and subject to outcome of decision of Scrutiny Committee.

(C) This Hon'ble Court may be graciously pleased to direct the respondent No.2 to forthwith promote petitioner in the next higher post without insisting for certificate of validity and subject to outcome of decision of Scrutiny Committee, pending hearing and final disposal of the instant petition."

3. Learned counsel for the Scrutiny Committee-respondent No.1 makes a statement on instructions that the claim of the petitioner is pending and would be finally disposed within four months from today. In view of this statement, purpose for this petition is almost over. The writ petition stands disposed of. The petitioner shall cooperate in the enquiry before the Committee.

4. Interim order, if any, passed in favour of the petitioner in this petition shall continue until the disposal of the petitioner's case before the Committee and four weeks thereafter, in case of adverse decision.

[A.M. BADAR,J.]

[A.V. NIRGUDE,J.]