

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6616 OF 2014
IN FAST/7509/2014 WITH CA/6618/2014 IN FAST/7560/2014 WITH
CA/6620/2014 IN FAST/7564/2014 WITH CA/6622/2014 IN FAST/7569/2014
WITH CA/6624/2014 IN FAST/7574/2014

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR LATUR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
ISHWAR RAOSAHEB BHANDE

...
Advocate for Applicants : Mr. S.P. Sonpawle, Assistant Govt. Pleader
Advocate for respondent No.1 : Mr.V.G. Sakolkar.

CORAM : A.M. BADAR, J.
DATE : 1ST DECEMBER, 2015.

PER COURT:

1] Heard.

2] The applications for condonation of delay in appeal is opposed by the learned counsel for non applicant by contending that the delay is not at all sufficiently explained.

3] Perusal of the applications shows that the delay is sufficiently explained. Averments therein are not controverted by filing counter. Hence, applications are allowed. Delay in filing First Appeal is condoned.

[A.M. BADAR, J]

grt/-