

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 5392 OF 2002
WITH CA/3974/2004 IN WP/5392/2002

VINAYAK RAJARAM PATIL
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHA RASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. B. R. Warma
AGP for Respondents 1 and 2: Mr. S.R. Palnitkar
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. A.S. Golegaonkar
Advocate for Respondents 6, 10, 21, 22 and 32: Mr. A.H. Kasliwal
Advocate for Respondents 37 to 58: Mr. L.V. Sangit
Advocate for respondent Nos. 4, 5, 7 to 9, 11 to 20, 23 to 31 and 33 to 36:
Mr. Girish Rane

.....

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 400 OF 2003

DEEPAK PRALHAD BHAVSAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

.....

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. A.H. Kasliwal
AGP for Respondents 1 and 2: Mr. S.R. Palnitkar
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. A.S. Golegaonkar

.....

**CORAM : A. V. NIRGUDE AND
V. K. JADHAV, JJ.**

DATED : 2nd FEBRUARY, 2015

P.C. :-

1. Perused the contents of both the writ petitions. It appears that the grievances raised in these petitions are almost mitigated, as this Court by passing the interim order appointed a committee headed by

the Sub Divisional Officer, Amalner. The said committee removed the encroachments from the public roads. In view of this subsequent development, the purpose for which the petitions are filed, has been served. If at all any further grievance remains there, the same can be addressed by filing fresh writ petition/s. In the light of above observations, both these writ petitions are disposed of. Rule discharged.

2. Pending civil applications in these writ petition/s are also disposed of.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

(A. V. NIRGUDE, J.)

rlj/