

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6333 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7460 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6311 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7655 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6313 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7649 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6315 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7646 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6317 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7640 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6319 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7643 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6321 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7664 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6323 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7667 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6325 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7652 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6327 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7658 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6329 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7834 OF 2013

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.6331 OF 2013
IN
FIRST APPEAL [ST] NO.7838 OF 2013

--

Mr.S.G.Sangle, advocate for applicants

Mr.G.K.Sontakke, advocate for respondent no.1

Mr.S.P.Dound, AGP for respondent no.2 – State

--

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.
DATE : JANUARY 14, 2015

PER COURT :

Heard.

2] Mr.Sangle, learned counsel for the applicants, submits that the delay of 645 days caused in filing the First Appeals is occurred as the matter was processed at various levels to take decision regarding filing of the Appeals.

3] Mr.Sontakke, learned counsel for respondent no.1 opposes the applications.

4] In my view, sufficient reasons are made out. The delay is, therefore, condoned. The applications are allowed and disposed of as such with no order as to costs.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]

kbp