

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONT. PETITION NO. 140 OF 2015
IN WP/8985/2011

TUKARAM DHONDU BIRHADE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Salgare Vitthal G.
AGP for Respondents: Mr.K.G.Patil
...

CONT. PETITION NO. 142 OF 2015
IN WP/8985/2011

BABULAL LAVAJI MAKWANA
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Salgare Vitthal G.
AGP for Respondents: Mr.G.K.Thigale
...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
V. L.ACHLIYA, JJ.
DATED : 13th MARCH, 2015**

P.C. :-

Mr.Salgare, learned counsel submits that the petitioners have given representation, however, the representation is not decided. The learned Additional Govt.Pleader Mr.K.G.Patil states that no orders are passed in any petition filed by the petitioners. According to Mr.Salgare, learned counsel the petitioners are similarly situated persons as such, the said judgment would be applicable to the petitioners also.

2] The jurisdiction of contempt has to be strictly construed. No petition is filed in which directions are issued. In light of that, present Contempt Petitions would not be tenable, however, petitioners are at liberty to take up appropriate proceedings for redressal of their grievances.

V.L.ACHLIYA, J.

S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.