

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.140 OF 2004**

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 430 OF 2000

(Mangala Dattatraya Kuchekar **Vs** Parvatibai Bapusaheb Modak through
Lrs)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders CORAM : A.V. NIRGUDE, J. DATED : 22.07.2015
---	---

Mr.R.S.Deshmukh, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr.V.S.Bedre, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

1. Heard.

2. In this Civil Application, Mangala claimed that she is one of the legal representatives of respondent No. 1-Shantabai, who died during pendency of the Second Appeal. The appellant brought some persons on record as legal representatives of Shantabai, but did not bring Mangala as such. The statement of Mangala that she is one of the legal representatives of Shantabai, is again in challenge. Therefore, the

application deserves to be allowed.

3. The application is pending since 2004 and yet the statement of Mangala has not been controverted.

4. The application stands allowed, as prayed.

5. The amendment be carried out. The delay stands condoned.

5. The civil application stands disposed of accordingly.

[A.V. NIRGUDE, J.]