

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7839 OF 2014

Smt. Takshila @ Tashila Govind Mavachi

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra

.. RESPONDENT

Mr. D. S. Bagul, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P. P. More, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2541 OF 2015**

Prerna Shaikshnik Va Bahuuddeshiya
Vikas Sanstha

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. D.S. Bagul, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.P. More, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1445 OF 2015**

Manish Omprakash Agrawal

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. D.S. Bagul, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.P. More, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1447 OF 2015**

Taram Chaitram Bhil

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. D.S. Bagul, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.P. More, AGP for the State.

WITH**WRIT PETITION NO. 11520 OF 2014**

Dhudakabai Janglu Bhil
& another

.. PETITIONERS**VERSUS**

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. D.S. Bagul, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.P. More, AGP for the State.

WITH**WRIT PETITION NO. 2692 OF 2015**

Shri Lalchand Vedu Bhil
& another

.. PETITIONERS**VERSUS**

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. D.S. Bagul, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.P. More, AGP for the State.

WITH**WRIT PETITION NO. 2680 OF 2015**

Shri Sonu Gaba More

.. PETITIONER**VERSUS**

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. D.S. Bagul, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.P. More, AGP for the State.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 2691 OF 2015**

Shri Bhimrao Sada More
& others

.. PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. D.S. Bagul, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. P.P. More, AGP for the State.

=====

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
P.R. BORA, JJ.
DATE : 11^h MARCH, 2015.**

PER COURT :

1. Learned AGP on instructions informs that the proposals tendered by petitioners under section 36A of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code would be dealt with and appropriate decision will be taken as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six months from today. The Statement is accepted. In this view of the matter, writ petitions are disposed of.

**(P. R. BORA)
JUDGE**

**(R. M. BORDE)
JUDGE**

dyb