

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**BENCH AT AURANGABAD****WRIT PETITION NO. 3870 OF 2004****VISHWAMBHAR NIVRUTTIRAO REWALE****VERSUS****STATE OF MAHA & ORS****WITH****WRIT PETITION NO. 3893 OF 2004****WITH CA/11613/2004 IN WP/3893/2004****SHRIRAM BABURAO KADAM & ORS****VERSUS****STATE OF MAHA & ORS**

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. C R Bharaswadkar

AGPs for Respondents: Mr. G. K. Thigale, Mrs. S. A. Dhumal

...

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &**V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.****DATE : 9th January, 2015****PER COURT :**

1. We have heard learned counsel Mr. Bharaswadkar for the petitioner.
2. Mr. Bharaswadkar, the learned counsel submits that in one writ petition, this Court had directed the respondent Bank to chalk out the programme to make payments. As the order is already passed by this court in one of the writ petitions, as contended by the learned Counsel, directing the respondent Bank to chalk out the programme to make the payment, the petitioners also would be governed by the same order. In view of that, nothing survives for adjudication in the present writ petitions. The writ petitions stand disposed of. Rule disposed of. Civil applications also stand disposed of. No costs.

(V. L. ACHLIYA, J.)
JPC**(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)**